Temporal patterns of foraging activities of northern gannets, *Morus bassanus*, in the northwest Atlantic Ocean
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Abstract: We investigated time allocation and diel rhythms in foraging northern gannets (*Morus bassanus*). Chick-rearing adults on Funk Island, Newfoundland, Canada, were equipped with different types of data loggers. We found no effects of the devices on the birds’ behaviour or breeding success. A total of 25 foraging trips were recorded from 16 birds. Foraging-trip durations (median 13.5 h, range 3.0–39.2 h) showed a bimodal distribution, coinciding with a partitioning of foraging trips into 1- and 2-day trips. Some individuals were consistent in performing either 1- or 2-day trips. During foraging trips, gannets were flying, on average, 44% of the time. Foraging activities exhibited a clear diel rhythm. Flight and diving activity was concentrated during early morning and late afternoon, with reduced activity during midday. Gannets were inactive at night. Flight time between the terminal dive of a foraging trip and arrival at the colony was significantly longer during 2-day trips (94 ± 22 min; mean ± SD) than during 1-day trips (51 ± 22 min). Water masses visited differed between 1- and 2-day trips. We could find no evidence for a clear dual foraging strategy, although birds were exploiting more distant waters during 2-day trips, as has been found for many procellariform species.

Résumé : Nous avons examiné l’emploi du temps et le rythme quotidien de recherche de nourriture chez le fou-de-bassan (*Morus bassanus*). Des adultes éleveurs de poussins sur l’île Funk, Terre-Neuve, Canada, ont été munis de différents types d’appareils enregistreurs de données. Nous n’avons constaté aucun effet de ces appareils sur le comportement ou sur le succès de la reproduction des oiseaux. Au total, 25 excursions de quête de nourriture ont été observées mettant en cause 16 oiseaux. La durée des excursions (médiane 13,5 h, étendue 3,0–39,2 h) suivait une courbe bimodale, correspondant au partitionnement des excursions en épisodes de 1 et 2 jours. Certains des oiseaux faisaient toujours des excursions de la même durée, soit 1 ou 2 jours. Au cours de leurs excursions de quête de nourriture, les oiseaux volaient en moyenne 44 % du temps. L’activité de quête de nourriture se faisait selon un rythme circadien bien défini. Les oiseaux concentraient leurs activités de vol et de plongée au petit matin et en fin d’après-midi et étaient moins actifs au milieu de la journée. Les oiseaux étaient inactifs la nuit. La durée du vol entre la plongée finale d’une excursion de quête de nourriture et l’arrivée à la colonie était significativement plus longue au cours des excursions de 2 jours (94 ± 22 min; moyenne ± écart type) que au cours de celles de 1 jour (51 ± 22 min). Les masses d’eau visitées différaient selon que les excursions duraient 1 ou 2 jours. Même si les oiseaux visitaient des eaux plus distantes au cours d’excursions de 2 jours, nous n’avons pas trouvé de preuves d’une stratégie double bien définie de quête de nourriture, comme c’est le cas chez de nombreuses espèces d’oiseaux marins procellariformes.

[Traduit par la Rédaction]

Introduction

During chick rearing, seabirds are constrained in their foraging options for obtaining food for both themselves and their offspring (Orians and Pearson 1979). The resolution of potential conflicts determines the outcome of longer term life-history trade-offs between provisioning oneself and one’s offspring (Roff 1992; Stearns 1992; McNamara and Houston 1996). These conflicts are most evident among pelagic species that live year-round on the high seas, a highly variable environment. One foraging solution for meeting the needs of both adults and offspring is the strategy of performing a mixture of long and short foraging trips (Weimerskirch et al. 1994). During short trips parents target foraging areas near the colony and tend to lose body mass while gathering food for chicks. In contrast, during long trips they exploit foraging areas as far as several hundred kilometres away and tend to gain body mass while collecting sufficient food for both their own requirements and those of their offspring (Weimerskirch et al. 1997).
However, not all seabirds perform long, wide-ranging foraging trips and many do not exhibit a bimodal distribution of foraging-trip durations. For example, the northern gannet (Morus bassanus), a pelicaniform species, engages in much shorter foraging trips than most procellariform species (Nelson 1978; Hamer et al. 1997, 2000; Garthe et al. 1999). For example, Hamer et al. (2000) found foraging-trip durations of 13–84 h (mean 32 h) for northern gannets breeding at Bass Rock in the North Sea. Nelson (1978) calculated average chick-guarding spells (<7-week-old chicks, similar to our study) of 19 h at Bass Rock and 20 h at Ailsa Craig. Garthe et al. (1999) measured durations of 3–27 h (mean 13 h) at a colony in Shetland, and even shorter chick-guarding spells, averaging 7 h, were recorded at Bempton in northeastern England (Nelson 1978). Lewis et al. (2001) found evidence that foraging-trip duration is related to colony size and thus possibly to intraspecific competition for prey.

It is not known if northern gannets use a dual foraging strategy or whether foraging trips are similar in length and structure. In the closely related Cape gannet (Morus capensis), Adams and Klages (1999) found a bimodal distribution of foraging-trip durations.

It is important to know how foraging trips are structured in order to understand foraging decisions made by seabirds. Do gannets employ a general strategy that underlies different foraging tactics? Is food taken at specific times during trips? How is time allocated to different activities? We used different data-logging units attached to breeding northern gannets in eastern Canada to assess the characteristics of foraging trips. It is essential to address these types of questions in order to better understand how seabirds respond to fluctuations in prey availability and hence to assess the mechanisms and limitations of using seabird performance as an indicator of prey conditions (Montevecchi 1993).

Methods

Date and location

This study was carried out between 26 July and 5 August 1999 at the northern gannet colony consisting of about 9800 pairs (Chardine 2000) on Funk Island (49°45’N, 53°11’W), a flat 800 × 400 m granite rock located about 50 km off the northeast coast of Newfoundland, Canada, in the northwest Atlantic Ocean. Additional observational data were gathered between 30 July and 7 August 2001 at the same site.

Capture of birds

Adult northern gannets with 4- to 5-week-old chicks, caught with a telescoping noose-pole from within a portable blind to reduce disturbance to neighbouring birds, were equipped with miniaturized data-logging units. Recaptures took place the same way. Nests at the periphery of the colony were chosen in order not to disturb major parts of the colony. We cannot exclude the possibility that birds breeding in the centre of a colony differ from those at the periphery, so to reduce this potential bias, we selected birds breeding in the third or fourth row from the periphery. Breeding success was high at the sites where we worked. Capture took usually 2–3 min and processing the birds (attaching devices, marking the bird) lasted 5–10 min at the maximum. All birds were cared for in accordance with the principles and guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care.

Devices used

We used three different types of loggers to study the foraging behaviour of northern gannets. Twenty-two adults were equipped with data loggers; data were obtained from 16 birds that were recaptured. Durations of attachment varied from 27 to 75 h. All birds were marked on the head and neck with coloured magic markers for identification from a distance and banded with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) bands issued by the Canadian Wildlife Service.

LTD loggers

LTD_100 tags (light–temperature–depth recorders; Lotek Marine Technologies, St. John’s, Newfoundland, Canada) were used to derive dive depth (from a pressure sensor) and diving activity (in water, in air, or on land from a temperature sensor). They were 57 mm long with a diameter of 18 mm and a mass of 16 g; memory size was 1 MB. Devices were attached by Tesa® tape to two plastic bands placed on one leg of each bird. Pressure was recorded every 2 s over a depth range of 0–100 m with a resolution of 0.04 m. External temperature was recorded every 30 s. The activity of the bird could be deduced from records of thermal fluctuations (following Wilson et al. 1995; Garthe et al. 1999). When the temperature remained constant, the bird was considered to be swimming or resting on the water surface with the logger submerged and indicating sea-surface temperature (SST). When the recorded temperature varied slightly within the range recorded for air temperature (a few degrees higher than SST), the bird was considered to be flying. When the temperature varied in higher ranges (as the logger was warmed by the bird’s and chick’s body heat and often by solar and terrestrial radiation) and with other daily rhythms, the bird was considered to be in the colony (i.e., at the nest for most of the time). The last deduction was validated by observations made with a spotting scope from outside the colony to check when marked birds carrying loggers were present; the former deductions were corroborated using information derived from other devices described below.

Onset loggers

Onset loggers (Onset Computer Corporation, Pocasset, Massachusetts, U.S.A.) were used to derive activity from an external temperature sensor. The devices (17 × 31 × 41 mm, 20 g) had a memory of approximately 8 kB and were attached by Tesa® tape to two plastic bands placed on one leg of each marked bird. Temperature was measured every 60 s, from which activity was derived as indicated above.

DAR loggers

DAR loggers (Dall’Antonia Recorders, Istituto di Elaborazione dell’Informazione, Italian Research Council (C.N.R.), Pisa, Italy) were used to indicate diving depth (from a pressure sensor) and activity (from a motion sensor). These devices (80 mm long, 22–31 mm wide, 13–18.5 mm high, 28 g) had a memory capacity of 128 kB. These loggers are modified versions of those used in previous experiments on homing pigeons and marine birds (see Dall’Antonia et al. 1993, 1995; Benvenuti et al. 1998). They contain a depth...
meter with an operative range of 0–70 m and a resolution of 0.3 m and a flight sensor that differentiates flight from activities at the nest and at sea. The flight or motion sensor is a 5 mm diameter modified microphone, the membrane of which is activated by accelerations generated by body movements. During flight, the signals are produced by accelerations and (or) body vibrations caused by wing beats. During diving, signals are produced by wing and (or) foot movements. Time intervals between successive recordings were 4 s for depth meters and 6 s for flight sensors. These devices were attached with Tesa® tape to either feathers on the lower back about 5 cm above the uropygial gland or the four central tail feathers.

After deployment, the pressure and temperature devices were recalibrated in the field at institutes in Kiel and Pisa.

**Hydrographic measurements**

Temperature measurements from the LTD and Onset loggers appeared to have stabilized sufficiently after a maximum of 3 min, so a minimum swimming time of 3 min was considered necessary for analyses of SST. In addition to these SST measurements obtained during all swimming periods of at least 3 min, SST could also be assessed at many dives. Sometimes the birds’ swimming time before or after dives was not sufficient for accurate measurements to be obtained. However, SST could be assigned to 150 out of 214 dives (70%) during which birds carried LTD loggers with both temperature and pressure sensors. Unlike temperature sensors, pressure sensors react immediately, so dive depths were always known precisely.

**Observations in the colony**

To collect more data on foraging-trip durations, in 2001 we conducted frequent spotting-scope scans of multiple consecutive foraging trips by different individuals equipped with different data loggers; these are not reported in this study. Data collected during scans were used to determine whether the birds returned on the day that they departed or on the following day. Marked birds and their partners were studied. Data are presented for 24 individuals belonging to 12 pairs for which at least three consecutive trips of one partner were recorded.

**Analyses of data**

No more than three foraging trips were recorded per individual, so data from all 16 birds were pooled, as bias due to individual characteristics should have been negligible. For Student’s t tests we only used one trip per individual, selected randomly, when data from more than one trip were available.

Analyses of diel rhythms in flying, swimming, and diving are based only on recordings made during the time the birds were at sea.

**Results**

**Foraging-trip duration and timing**

Foraging-trip durations in 1999 showed a bimodal distribution with peaks at intervals of 4–8 and 20–24 h (Fig. 1). These peaks coincided with a separation of foraging trips into 1- and 2-day trips. The shortest trip recorded lasted 3.0 h, the longest lasted 39.2 h, and the median was 13.5 h (n = 25). The longest trip extended over 2 nights. Most foraging trips were initiated during the morning and relatively few in the afternoon (Fig. 2). The later the bird started during the day, the higher the probability that it would return to the nest the following day. However, when the day was split in two halves (departure before or after 1200), the differences were not significant (χ² = 2.49, ns, n = 25). Furthermore, foraging-trip duration was not significantly correlated with time of departure from the colony (rS = 0.072, p = 0.734, n = 25).

According to the additional data from 2001, some pairs showed consistency in conducting either 1- or 2-day trips, but many individuals showed no consistency in foraging-trip duration (Table 1).

**Water masses visited during foraging trips**

Based on SST, northern gannets from Funk Island on 1- and 2-day foraging trips visited water masses in significantly different proportions (χ² = 28.73, df = 6, p < 0.001). Gannets at sea for 1 day most frequently visited waters with SST of 14.1–15.0°C, though there was no such clear tendency in birds that had been at sea for 2 consecutive days (Fig. 3).
Waters with SST below 12.6°C were visited solely by birds on 2-day foraging trips.

**Activity allocation during foraging trips**

While at sea, northern gannets were flying, on average, 44.2% (range 24.6–86.6%; n = 25 foraging trips by 16 birds) of the time and were swimming for the other 55.8%, on average (range 13.4–75.4%). Flight activity showed considerable variation during the foraging trips (Fig. 4). During 1-day trips, the mean flight-activity level was high at the beginning and
Diel rhythm in foraging activities

Irrespective of trip duration, foraging activities exhibited a clear diel rhythm. At night, gannets rarely flew, and then just for a few minutes. Flying activity was most frequent during morning between 0400 and 0900 and later in the day between 1300 and 1900 (Fig. 6). There was a midday period of strongly reduced flight activity. The diel rhythm in diving showed the same pattern, with the highest activity levels early in the morning and late in the day (Fig. 7). The earliest dive observed among all birds and foraging trips was executed at 0408 and the latest at 2005; the local photoperiod extended from about 0430 to about 2030.

Discussion

Possible effects of devices on the birds

As reported by previous authors who employed the same activity loggers to investigate the foraging and diving behaviour of northern gannets in Shetland and on Funk Island (Garthe et al. 1999, 2000), no negative effects of the devices on the birds were detected. This assumption is based, firstly, on the high level of recaptures of birds with attached devices. Six recapture failures occurred: two were due to a too-early departure of the researchers because of weather problems (the birds were still at sea), one device fell off at sea, and two failures occurred because of chick losses due to nest fights and subsequent loss of the nest bond of the adults, so we could not recapture them; in one case the failure to recapture the bird was unexplained. Secondly, the same dive depths were obtained from different types of devices that were completely independent of each other and attached to three different parts of the bird’s body; furthermore, some birds carried stomach thermal sensors and some did not (Garthe et al. 2000). Thirdly, all birds equipped simultaneously with stomach temperature loggers fed successfully during all trips (unpublished data; see Wilson et al. 1992; Garthe et al. 1999).

Time allocation and diel rhythm during foraging trips

Irregular alternation between short and long foraging trips has been found in some procellariform species, among which a long trip usually follows 2–5 short trips (Weimerskirch et al. 1994). In contrast to most procellariform species, northern gannets from Funk Island showed no indication that they alternated between short and long foraging trips. The bimodal distribution of foraging-trip durations can be attributed to a nocturnal break in foraging rather than to the tactic of alternating short and long foraging trips. However, some gannets on 2-day foraging trips did visit colder water masses, and gannets might actually use these longer trips to target such different water masses.

Despite similar overall behaviour of birds on 1- and 2-day foraging trips, there were differences in the allocation of activities during these trips. Diving is clearly separated into two main phases during 2-day trips: in the evening of the first day and the morning of the second. Between the two phases, a nocturnal rest period of many hours without flight activity is imposed. It is likely that food obtained on the first day is digested at night before the birds start to feed on the second day (cf. Hilton et al. 1998). Also, heat created by digesting food could be used to balance heat loss due to thermostatic costs while swimming on cold water (e.g., Croll and McLaren 1993). If, however, the night period is excluded, it
becomes obvious that during both types of foraging trip, diving is performed most often in the middle and much less often at the beginning and end of trips, therefore they resemble each other quite strongly.

Incomplete determinations of sex among telemetered birds do not allow for thorough investigations of sex-specific differences in foraging behaviour or trip durations (Lewis et al. 2002). However, from the birds that could be sexed and from some data obtained from the mates of equipped birds it is clear that foraging-trip type cannot be allocated easily to either sex. Nevertheless, sex-specific foraging patterns have been found in northern gannets (Lewis et al. 2002), northern
giant petrels (*Macronectes halli*; González-Solís et al. 2000), and other seabirds. Even more obviously, chick age could not be responsible for differences in the observed foraging patterns because chick ages were largely the same among all pairs studied.

Two-day trips may prove advantageous over 1-day trips because the food ingested on the first day could be digested overnight. One might speculate that adults feed themselves on the first day and then load food for chicks on the second day (cf. Peters 1997a, 1997b). One-day trips should serve for both self-feeding and chick-provisioning more or less at the same time by providing a higher feeding rate to the

---

**Fig. 6.** Diel rhythm in the percentage of time at sea that was spent flying (the remainder (up to 100%) was spent swimming). Values are given as means ± SD for each first foraging trip recorded for 11 northern gannets on Funk Island in 1999. The numbers immediately below the x axis are sample sizes.

**Fig. 7.** Diel rhythm in diving activity, expressed as the number of dives per hour at sea. Values are given as means ± SD for each first foraging trip recorded for 11 northern gannets on Funk Island in 1999. The numbers immediately below the x axis are sample sizes.
chick than 2-day trips do. More temporal flexibility within the longer foraging trips could be beneficial if foraging birds select or explore better sites for feeding. Such tactics could reduce the rate at which chicks are provisioned with food, owing to longer absences from the nest, although provisioning with high-quality food obtained on longer trips could offset the costs of longer between-feeding intervals. Many individual mates appear to exhibit complementary patterns of 1- and 2-day trips. However, trip durations are not independent among mates, and mates could constrain each other.

Conclusions

We described and analysed temporal aspects of foraging trips made by breeding northern gannets. We found that gannets engaged in 1- and 2-day foraging trips and that some birds on longer trips foraged in colder waters. Longer trips provided foraging birds with more behavioural flexibility. This allowed birds on 2-day foraging trips to fly to more distant areas, as was shown by some birds foraging in colder water and also by the significantly longer flight period after the terminal dive of a trip (likely a dive for food for offspring). Increased time could also be used to explore new sites or to search longer or more intensively for prey. A distinct diel rhythm underlies activities at sea, and gannets are constrained by the period of darkness, unlike most procellariiform species (e.g., McNeil et al. 1993).
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