Flexible foraging tactics by a large opportunistic seabird preying on forage and large pelagic fishes
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ABSTRACT: Generalist and opportunistic marine predators use flexible foraging behaviour to exploit prey bases that change in diversity and spatial and temporal distributions. Behavioural flexibility is constrained by characteristics such as individual cognitive and physical capabilities, age, reproductive condition and central place foraging. To assess flexibility in the foraging tactics of a marine bird, we investigated the diets and foraging behaviour of the largest seabird predator in the North Atlantic Ocean. Northern gannets Sula bassana exploit a broad spectrum of pelagic prey that range in mass by more than 2 orders of magnitude. We investigated their foraging activity at their largest offshore colony in the western Atlantic Ocean during 1998 to 2002, when they preyed primarily on shoals of spawning and post-spawning capelin Mallotus villosus, a small forage fish (~15 g), and also on a much larger pelagic fish, post-smolt Atlantic salmon Salmo salar (~200 g). Inter-annual dietary variation is associated with gannet and prey fish distributions. Landings of capelin at the colony by gannets were correlated with returns of larger foraging flocks from inshore, whereas landings of Atlantic salmon were associated with smaller flocks returning from offshore. Maximum foraging trip distances ranged from 20 to 200 km and averaged 57 ± 12 (SE) km, consistent with distances to inshore capelin aggregations. When capelin abundance was low (in 2002), more gannets foraged offshore, preyed on large pelagic fishes (mostly Atlantic salmon) and exhibited the greatest dietary diversity. Though the outbound portions of foraging trips were more sinuous than inbound routes, individual gannets exhibited general fidelity to foraging sites. These large avian predators used flexible foraging tactics to adjust to changing prey conditions and generate longer-term strategies to take advantage of diverse trophic interactions over a range of ocean ecosystems.
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INTRODUCTION

Predators behave opportunistically to take advantage of changing prey and environmental conditions. Many marine apex predators exhibit flexible foraging behaviour to exploit shifting prey diversity and their changing spatial and temporal distributions (Croxall 1987, Bowen et al. 2006, Watanuki et al. 2008). This behavioural flexibility is mediated by the decision making of individual predators each being constrained by its own cognitive and physical capabilities. Individuals bring both transient and steady-state behaviour to navigate their daily lives in dynamic marine environments (Ollason et al. 2006). Breeding seabirds are further constrained by working to meet the increasing energy demands of offspring while foraging from a fixed colony site (Ollason & Pearson 1979)

Among large seabird predators, gannets employ flexible tactics to capture a variety of ephemeral pelagic prey that can vary in mass from tens to many hundreds of grams (Montevecchi & Berruti 1991, Bunce 2000, Hamer et al. 2001). They also scavenge
discards and offal from fishing vessels (Burger & Cooper 1984, Garthe et al. 1996). The largest gannet and the largest North Atlantic seabird, the northern gannet Sula bassana delivers loads of from one to tens of prey items (numbers inversely related to prey mass that range from <10 to >500 g) to rapidly growing, lipid-loading chicks during a 13 wk nestling period (Montevecchi et al. 1984). Chicks are fed primarily large oily pelagic fishes (e.g. Atlantic mackerel Scomber scombrus, Atlantic herring Clupea harengus) and at times small forage fishes (e.g. capelin Mallotus villosus, sand lances Ammodytes spp.; Nelson 1982, Montevecchi & Myers 1995, Garthe et al. 2007b).

Associated with a centennially anomalous cold-water perturbation in the northwest Atlantic Ocean during 1991 (Drinkwater 1996), northern gannets switched from preying on large migratory, warm-water species (Atlantic mackerel, Atlantic saury Scomberesox saurus, short-finned squid Illex illecebrosus; their dominant prey during the late 1970s and 1980s) to cold-water fishes, primarily capelin, for longer than a decade (Montevecchi 2007). Throughout this period, gannets have successfully provisioned offspring, and their populations in the northwest Atlantic Ocean have grown substantially (Chardine 2000).

In this paper, we integrate different levels of dietary and behavioural analyses to highlight the foraging tactics of northern gannets when preying on small forage fishes (capelin) and large pelagic fishes (e.g. Atlantic salmon Salmo salar) during 1998 to 2002. Inter-annual variation in diets is compared with concurrent avian and capelin distributions and densities obtained from vessel surveys during 1998 to 2002. Off the northeast Newfoundland coast, Canada, capelin shoals exploited by avian and mammalian predators often persist in fixed locations (Davoren et al. 2003b), and we assessed the gannets’ fidelity to foraging areas (e.g. Hamer et al. 2001, Watanuki et al. 2003) by comparing the directions and distances of successive trips by the same individuals equipped with compass loggers. The returning directions and sizes of foraging flocks were related to landings of capelin and of large pelagic fishes, mostly Atlantic salmon, and foraging trip patterns was explored by comparing the fractal dimensions of outbound and inbound routes. Because seabirds often use different foraging strategies when provisioning themselves versus when gathering food for offspring (Weimerskirch et al. 1994, Davoren & Burger 1999), we compared the distances from the colony of terminal diving bouts on a foraging trip (chick provisioning dives) with the distances of previous diving bouts (presumably those for self-provisioning). We use this information to assess the flexible foraging tactics used by the largest seabird predator in the North Atlantic Ocean.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site and period. Research was carried out on Funk Island (49° 45’ N, 53° 11’ W), a small (800 × 400 m) flat granite rock in the northwest Atlantic Ocean (Montevecchi & Tuck 1987), about 50 km off the northeast coast of Newfoundland (Fig. 1). The island has an estimated population of 9800 pairs of northern gannets (Chardine 2000) and is the 4th largest and most oceanic of the 6 gannet colonies in North America. Researchers were on the island from 4 to 17 August 1998, 26 July to 5 August 1999, 5 to 13 August 2000, 30 July to 7 August 2001 and 6 to 13 August 2002.

Diet sampling. Food samples were obtained by approaching roosting gannets that often regurgitated food as they moved away from researchers (Montevecchi & Myers 1995). Samples were also obtained from birds captured for data logger attachments and removals and from discarded regurgitations and scraps in the colony. While there are likely differences between samples collected in roosts and in the colony, samples from these sources are comparable (W. A. Montevecchi unpubl. data), and we opted to minimize disturbance to breeders by collecting regurgitations at roosts well outside the colony. Regurgitated prey items were identified to species level, fresh fish samples were measured for total length and very fresh fish were weighed with spring scales. Prey landings are presented as percentages of total regurgitations during each year.

Scans of 360° for flocks returning to colony. The numbers of gannets in incoming flocks were recorded by means of scans at various times of day. Counts were recorded within each 45° sector of a 360° scan performed from the island’s highest point near the northeast end with compass-directional binoculars that were held with the horizon at mid-diameter as the observer slowly scanned the sector for 1 min. Three full rotations (24 min) comprised a scan session. Wind speed and direction were recorded with a hand-held anemometer and the directional binoculars at the outset of scans and, if conditions changed, also at the end. Two or three observers counted each year, usually working in pairs with one person counting and the other recording; counts were recorded on a portable tape recorder when a single observer counted. Because observers worked in multiple years most often in pairs of the same observers, and because data were analyzed in 90° quadrants, influences of individual differences were minimized. Frequencies of returning foragers within 90° quadrants were compared on daily and annual bases with chi-square tests, and numbers were plotted as percentages of total counts. Comparisons of the percentages of gannets returning from inshore (135° to 315°) and offshore (315° to 135°) direc-
tions were correlated with the numbers of regurgitations of the 4 most common prey (capelin, Atlantic salmon, Atlantic saury, Atlantic herring) landed in the colony on the same day. The average sizes of flocks returning from inshore and offshore were compared with 1-way ANOVA.

Vessel surveys and spatial distribution calculations.
A mesoscale (1 to 800 km) survey aboard the 23 m Canadian Coast Guard research vessel 'Shamook' was conducted over 5 d within avian foraging ranges and prime capelin spawning and staging areas to the south and west of Funk Island during July and August 2000 to 2002 (Fig. 1). Nine east–west cross-shelf transects 9 km apart on north–south axis were run during the 12 h d–1 that the 'Shamook' operated. Surveys were periodically interrupted to identify the species composition of acoustic signals using a modified shrimp trawl. The majority of fish sampled by mass were capelin in each year (2000: 96%; 2001: 71%; 2002: 55%).

During transects, vessel speed (11 to 16 km h–1) was held constant and signals of prey species were recorded continuously using 2 hydroacoustic systems. For the 2000 survey, a EQ100 system (Simrad) was operated through a hull-mounted single 38 kHz beam transducer that operated at 1 ping s–1 over a range of 250 m with a bandwidth of 0.4 to 0.6 ms. The transducer was at a depth of 3 m and acoustic signals were reliable at 8 m and deeper. The sample depth of the acoustic system (8 to 250 m) and vessel speed (14 to 16 km h–1) were held constant throughout all surveys. Echograms were continuously printed and the relative abundance of fish was quantified by estimating the percent cover of the prey image in each 250 × 10 m vertical bin (Piatt 1990). An index of percent cover of prey in each bin was estimated from 0 (no prey) to 9 (near saturation). Values were squared before analysis (0 to 81) to account for the non-linear change in sonder intensity relative to fish school density (Piatt 1990), giving a relative measure of acoustic prey abundance.

During 2001 and 2002, a DT 6000 hydroacoustic system (BioSonics) operated through a 38 kHz split-beam transducer in a towed body. The transducer had a 2-way beam angle of 22.671 dB and the echo sounder was operated at 1 ping s–1, a bandwidth of 5.1 kHz and a pulse duration of 0.4 ms. The transducer was at a depth of 5 m and the upper resolution of the acoustic signals (8 to 10 m) was well within the diving range of northern gannets to 20 m (Garthe et al. 2001). Vessel speed was maintained between 11 to 14 km h–1. Raw high resolution acoustic data (volume backscattering coefficients, $s_v$) were recorded continuously and used to derive capelin biomass estimates (g m–2; see Davoren et al. 2006). Variability in the quality of hydroacoustic systems used and data processing techniques precluded comparing capelin abundance among years, but allowed inter-annual comparisons of distributional patterns.

During acoustic transects, seabirds were counted continuously by a single observer in a 90° arc out to 300 m from the bow to the port side of the ship using standard strip methods (Tasker et al. 1984). Counts with behavioural descriptions (on water, flying, feeding) were entered into a laptop computer with counting software (D. Senciall, Birds & Beastie Counter, Fish-
ories and Oceans Canada, v. 1.0 [1998]) connected to the vessel’s navigational system. A latitude–longitude position was appended to each sighting.

**Capture of birds.** Adult gannets with 4 to 6 wk old chicks in their nests were captured with a telescoping noose pole from a small portable blind to minimize disturbance to neighbouring birds. We avoided capturing pairs with younger poikilothermic chicks as this was more disturbing to parents, and because parents with older chick had greater energetic demands. Pairs on the colony’s periphery were used to avoid disruption to the interior colony; to reduce possible sampling bias in potential differences between peripheral and interior nesting pairs, we captured birds in the 3rd or 4th rows from the colony edge. Breeding success was high at the sites where we worked (W. A. Montvecchi & S. Garthe pers. obs.). Birds were captured, marked on the head and neck with colored markers for identification from a distance, equipped and banded with US Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) bands issued by the Canadian Wildlife Service usually within 5 to 10 min. We held the necessary university, provincial and federal permits, and birds were treated in accordance with the guidelines of the Canadian Council on Animal Care.

**Data loggers.** Four types of data loggers were used on 24 breeding adult gannets for a total of 49 foraging trips. Durations of attachments varied from 27 to 75 h. We attached LTD-100 tags (Lotek Marine Technologies) to 7 gannets that made 9 foraging trips in 1999. Dall’Antonia (DA) activity–depth recorders were deployed on 7 gannets that completed 16 foraging trips in 2001, DA compass loggers were attached to 7 gannets that completed 14 foraging trips in 2002, and compass Tlog devices (65 mm length, 16 mm diameter, 14.5 g, 2 MB memory; Earth & Oceans) with horizontal direction recorders were also used to track 10 successive foraging flight paths of 2 gannets in 2003 and 1 in 2004. The data recording intervals set on each birdborne device (below) allowed continuous recording for up to 3 to 4 d, and the weight of each device weighed ≤~1% of a gannet’s body mass. With the exception of the LTD-100 tags (see ‘LTD loggers’), all loggers were attached with tesa tape to feathers on the lower back about 5 cm above the uropygial gland or atop 4 central tail feathers. When an equipped gannet was recaptured, devices were removed quickly, and data were downloaded into a laptop computer.

**LTD loggers:** LTD-100 tags (57 mm length, 18 mm diameter, 16 g, 1 MB memory) were attached with tesa tape to 2 plastic bands on one leg. Activity was inferred from thermal fluctuation records taken every 30 s (following Wilson et al. 1995, Garthe et al. 1999); dive depth data are reported elsewhere (Garthe et al. 2000, 2003). When temperature indicated sea surface temperature (SST) and remained constant, the bird was considered to be swimming or resting on the water with the logger submerged. When temperature varied slightly within the range of recorded air temperature (some degrees higher than SST), the bird was considered to be flying. When the temperature varied in higher ranges (as the logger was warmed by the bird’s and chick’s body heat and often solar and terrestrial radiation) and with other diurnal thermal rhythms, the bird was considered to be in the colony (i.e. at the nest most of the time). These occurrences were validated by observations using a spotting scope from outside the colony to check when marked birds carrying loggers were present at nest sites (Garthe et al. 2003).

**Dall’Antonia device–activity-depth loggers:** Dall’Antonia (DA) devices (80 mm length, 22 to 31 mm width, 13 to 18.5 mm height, 28 g, 128 kB memory) recorded activity (from a motion sensor) every 6 s and depth (from a pressure sensor) every 4 s (Dall’Antonia et al. 1993, Benvenuti et al. 1998). In previous research (e.g. Garthe et al. 2000, 2007), we demonstrated that U-shaped dives for capelin and V-shaped dives for large pelagic fishes like post-smolt Atlantic salmon are typically in excess of 4 s, and we are confident that we recorded the foraging dives by the gannets in our study. The activity sensor (a 5 mm diameter modified microphone membrane) was activated by flight signals produced by accelerations and/or body vibrations caused by wing beats. During diving, signals are produced by wing and/or foot propulsions. Flight activity was identified on graphs as regular high-level deflections, easily distinguishable from the low frequency signals produced when the bird is on the sea surface and from weak irregular or absent signals when the bird is at the nest. Devices were attached either to feathers on the lower back about 5 cm above the uropygial gland or the 4 central tail feathers with tesa tape.

**Compass loggers:** DA compass loggers (93 mm length, 22 to 33 mm width, 15 to 20 mm height, 33 g, 128 kB memory) with direction recorders, flight and pressure sensors and one with a flight recorder but no pressure sensor were used to track flight directions and activities (Benvenuti et al. 1998, 2001). Intervals between successive recordings were 6 to 8 s for both the compass logger and flight sensor and 4 s for the pressure sensor. Used in conjunction with satellite tags, these loggers indicated similar general foraging areas (Falk et al. 2001). Compass Tlog devices (65 mm length, 16 mm diameter, 14.5 g, 2 MB memory) with horizontal direction recorders were also used to track foraging routes. Intervals between successive directional records were 6 s.

**Foraging routes, ranges and diving activity.** Twenty-four compass routes from 10 parental gannets were plotted; 1 of these birds had 4 consecutive forag-
RESULTS

Prey landings

Capelin comprised 77% of the prey loads returned to the colony, ranging from 51 to 100% on a per annum basis from 1998 to 2002 (Table 1). Atlantic salmon was the next most common prey with high annual variation ranging from 0 to 34% of landings. Atlantic saury, Atlantic herring and Atlantic mackerel made up relatively minor dietary components. During 1998 to 2000, capelin comprised more than 80% of prey landings, though the situation was somewhat different in 2001 and very different in 2002, when Atlantic salmon made up significant dietary components and when dietary diversity was greatest (Table 1). Northern gannet landings of capelin contained 6 to 20 fish compared with landings of salmon that contained 1 to 3 much larger fish.

Directions and sizes of returning flocks and prey landings at colony

The flight directions of incoming gannets are shown in Fig. 2. In all years, most birds returned to the colony from inshore foraging sites southwest of the island, except during 2002 when most returned from offshore sites north of the colony ($\chi^2 = 902.7$, df = 12, p < 0.001).

Comparisons of the directions of returning birds and proportions of prey species landed at the colony revealed significant relationships between inshore returns and landings of capelin ($y = 0.49x + 38.5$, n = 12, $R^2 = 0.33$, p = 0.049) and between offshore foraging and landings of Atlantic salmon ($y = 1.15x + 19.1$, n = 12, $R^2 = 0.60$, p = 0.003; Fig. 3). No relationships for other common prey (Atlantic herring, Atlantic saury) were detected (p > 0.05). The sizes of flocks returning from inshore were significantly larger than those returning from offshore in each year and in all years combined (Fig. 4). Flock sizes were lowest in the year 2002 when capelin was least abundant.

Table 1. Sula bassana. Percentages of each prey species in regurgitations (with numbers in parentheses) by northern gannets on Funk Island, 1998 to 2002

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Capelin</td>
<td>84 (384)</td>
<td>87 (86)</td>
<td>100 (183)</td>
<td>72 (153)</td>
<td>51 (145)</td>
<td>77 (951)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic salmon</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>25 (54)</td>
<td>34 (95)</td>
<td>12 (154)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic saury</td>
<td>12 (56)</td>
<td>10 (10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (3)</td>
<td>3 (9)</td>
<td>6 (78)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic herring</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td>3 (3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>3 (8)</td>
<td>2 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic mackerel</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9 (25)</td>
<td>2 (25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>100 (460)</td>
<td>100 (99)</td>
<td>100 (183)</td>
<td>100 (214)</td>
<td>100 (282)</td>
<td>99 (1238)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Observers on research vessels noted consistent general travel routes of northern gannets to and from Funk Island from areas southwest of the colony. Capelin abundance was substantially lower in 2002, showing a 7-fold decrease from 2001 (Fig. 5, Table 2). The number of gannets per km along the survey route was also significantly lower during 2002, being less than one-third of those recorded in 2000 and 2001 (Table 2). Interestingly, 2002 was the only year when more foragers returned from offshore areas north of the island (Fig. 2) and the year when most Atlantic salmon were landed at the colony (Table 1).

Foraging trips, range and area

Foraging trips consisted of alternated series of flights and stops of variable durations that include dives and sitting on the water, with 1 or 2 longer flights performed most often at the beginning and end of the trips. Most diving activity occurred during the middle segments of foraging flights ($\chi^2 = 48.26$, df = 4, $p < 0.001$; Fig. 6), indicating that there was little foraging activity in close proximity to the colony. Colony departures occurred between sunrise and sunset with no apparent diurnal concentration (see also Garthe et al. 2003). Foraging trip durations of birds with compass
Fig. 5. *Sula bassana* and *Mallotus villosus*. Abundances of northern gannets and capelin on vessel transects within the gannets' foraging range from Funk Island, 2000 to 2002. Dashed lines represent the survey track in each year.
loggers were variable, ranging from 3.3 to 26.2 h, with mean (±SD) and median durations of 9.5 ± 5.8 and 8 h, respectively (Table 3). Birds that departed in the morning usually returned before sunset, whereas those that left in the afternoon usually remained at sea overnight and returned the next morning.

On foraging trips, gannets with compass loggers spent about half (49%, range from 31 to 71%) of the daylight time away flying. Birds remained on the water and did not fly after dark. Total flight durations ranged between 1.9 and 13.7 h, with mean (±SD) and median durations of 3.8 ± 3.0 and 2.9 h, respectively. Time spent flying increased with trip duration (r = 0.90, n = 14, p < 0.001), indicating more travelling and potentially more searching on longer foraging trips. The more often birds dived the longer they rested on water after diving (r = 0.82, n = 18, p < 0.001 for first dive bouts; r = 0.53, n = 75, p < 0.001 for all dive bouts).

Based on a flying speed of 54 km h⁻¹ (Pennycuick 1997), values (±SD) for maximum outbound distances from the colony (foraging range) on individual trips during 2002 averaged 61 ± 44 km (n = 14 trips, median and mode = 50 km) and ranged from 30 to 200 km (Table 4, Fig. 7). Estimated flight distances between the colony and the first feeding site ranged from 10 to 193 km. Foraging ranges were shorter in 2003 and 2004 when capelin again predominated gannet landings (Montevecchi 2007) and averaged 41 ± 21 km (n = 10 trips; Table 4).

The mean number of activity changes from swimming to flying and vice versa was significantly greater on outbound (5.3) than on inbound flights (2.8; t = 2.986, n = 24, p = 0.007). The mean (±SD) fractal dimensions of outbound flights (1.29 ± 0.12) were not significantly different from those of inbound ones (1.24 ± 0.13; t = 0.12, n = 14, p > 0.05) nor were the mean fractal dimensions of foraging routes out to (1.26 ± 0.14) and back from terminal dive bouts (1.18 ± 0.15; t = 0.12, n = 14, p > 0.05). Yet, 11 of 14 outbound routes had higher fractal dimensions than did corresponding inbound routes on the same trips by the same individuals (binomial test, p = 0.029) and 10 of 12 routes to the terminal dive bout had higher fractal dimensions than did their corresponding inbound routes (binomial test, p = 0.019).

There was no significant difference in the average (±SD) number of dives during the last or terminal dive bouts; r = 0.53, n = 75, p < 0.001 for all dive bouts).
ing bouts of a trip (5.1 ± 4.1, range: 1 to 16) compared with previous diving bouts on the same trip (4.7 ± 7.2, range: 1 to 30; F = 0.61; df = 1, 31; p > 0.05). Of 12 terminal diving bouts, 11 involved multiple dives compared with 10 of 19 previous diving bouts on the same trip (χ² = 5.13, df = 1, p = 0.024). On a foraging trip, the time spent resting on the water after terminal diving bouts (20.8 ± 23.0 min) was about one-half of that after previous diving bouts (36.8 ± 31.5 min) though owing
to variability this tendency was not statistically significant (t = 0.157; df = 1,12; p > 0.05).

Individual gannets returned to the colony from all directions, ranging from 30° to 355° (Table 4). Consistent with the scan data in 2002, mean (±SD) and median return directions of foraging flocks were 187° ± 100° and 200°, respectively. Six of seven successive trips were within 90° of one another, and the average difference in flight direction between successive foraging trips by the same individual was 45° ± 17° (Table 4). Again in 2003 and 2004, mean and median return directions of foraging trips were from the south at 181° ± 78° and 192°. As well, 6 of 7 of foraging trips by 3 ind. tracked during 10 foraging trips were also within 90° of one another, averaging 46° ± 35° directional differences between successive foraging trips (Table 4). Combing data for the 10 compass-equipped gannets, the average and median directions of returning foraging flocks were 191° ± 92° and 200°, respectively, and the overall mean angular deviation between 14 successive foraging trips by these individuals was 43° ± 40°, with a median angular difference between successive trips of 34°.

DISCUSSION

Responses of gannets to device attachments

Birds were probably influenced by device attachments. Yet, as in our other studies that have employed some of the same attachments on northern gannets in this same colony, there was little evidence to indicate deleterious effects (Garthe et al. 2000, 2003, 2007a). Following attachments, birds returned quickly to nest sites, and parental behaviour, feeding and chick care and condition appeared normal. The foraging trip durations of equipped birds were not significantly different from those of their unequipped mates (S. Garthe unpubl.). Of 24 birds equipped with loggers, only 3 were not recaptured (2 of these were at sea when we had to depart the island due to bad weather), indicating that the stress related to capture, handling and attachments was surmountable. The other bird that we did not recapture had an approximately 8 d old chick that was knocked from the nest and died. This was the only chick mortality observed during the study, and the only time we equipped a bird with a chick this young.

Prey exploitation

Following a centennially significant cold water perturbation in 1991 (Drinkwater 1996), large warm water

Table 4. *Sula bassana.* Foraging trip directions and maximum distances (ranges) during 1st, 2nd, 3rd and 4th foraging trips by northern gannets equipped with compass loggers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gannet no.</th>
<th>Direction (°)</th>
<th>Max. distance (km)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1st</td>
<td>2nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001 1</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003 8</td>
<td>278</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004 10</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>152</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
pelagic fishes and squid did not migrate into the region and the dietary diversity of northern gannets decreased markedly (Montevecchi & Myers 1995). During 1998 to 2002, the gannets preyed mostly on capelin, which are among the smallest prey that they exploit, often carrying 20 or more in a single load. Most capelin were spent females and males, with very few gravid females. Male capelin are larger than females, but gravid females have the highest energy density (Montevecchi & Piatt 1984) and are the preferred prey of common murres Uria aalge (Davoren & Montevecchi 2003). The large multi-prey loading gannets do not discriminate among these small forage fish. Rather, the gannets’ landings probably reflect capelin availability (determined by temperature-dependent spawning times) within the constraints of their maximum 20 m dive depths and 200 km foraging ranges.

When capelin stocks decreased, gannets landed substantial numbers of Atlantic salmon and other large pelagic fishes. Salmon were a minor prey the gannets’ diets during the 1970s and 1980s, but increased significantly after an anomalous cold water perturbation in 1991 (Montevecchi et al. 2002, Montevecchi & Cairns 2003, Montevecchi 2007). Due to shifting pelagic food webs during the 1990s, the gannets’ prey options were fewer and their diet breadth narrowed.

**Foraging tactics and foraging sites**

Large seabird colonies occur in proximity to robust predictable prey fields, giving individuals opportunities to learn about productive foraging sites and to use memory-based foraging strategies (Hamer et al. 2001, Davoren et al. 2003b). Northern gannets from the large offshore Funk Island colony foraged consistently inshore when persistent shoals of capelin provided the bulk of their prey. Common murres from Funk Island and other large predators also forage consistently on these persistent inshore shoals of capelin, i.e. hotspots (Davoren et al. 2003a). During 2002, when these shoals were reduced in abundance and dispersed, more gannets foraged opportunistically offshore from the colony and exploited post-smolt Atlantic salmon.

Individual gannets showed consistency in successive foraging trips, returning to areas where they were recently successful (Benvenuti et al. 1998, Irons 1998, Hamer et al. 2001, Watanuki et al. 2003). Fidelity to feeding areas on successive foraging trips is indicative of memory-based foraging tactics. In the longterm such tactics could facilitate the development of behavioural ‘traditions’ or hinterlands as has been demonstrated in other studies of seabird foraging ecology (Furness & Birkhead 1984, Cairns 1989, Grémillet et al. 2004, Garthe et al. 2007a).

Generally, the gannets used a mixed foraging strategy that involved a general fidelity to inshore areas and some wider offshore foraging when capelin were less available and when post-smolt Atlantic salmon moved through the area. Flocks returning from inshore sites were significantly larger than those from offshore, suggesting that it might also have been easier for northern gannets to exploit information (local enhancement) from conspecifics foraging inshore on concentrated shoals of capelin (Wittenberger & Hunt 1985, Flemming & Greene 1990). Larger inshore flocks are probably related to the high numbers of gannets foraging there, giving greater opportunity to return to the colony in linear aggregations thereby gaining aerodynamic and energetic benefits. As with other opportunistic generalists (Watanuki et al. 2004), the gannets integrated a mixed array of flexible and repetitive tactics to engage changes in prey availability driven by dynamic oceanographic conditions.

**Foraging trip patterning**

Northern gannets flew at sea for about half of their daylight time and stayed on the water at night (see also Hamer et al. 2001, Garthe et al. 2003). The longer birds were at sea, the more they flew and the farther they traveled. Gannets tended to make relatively long initial and final flights from and to the colony in the order of 50 to 60 km. Outbound flights were interrupted more by time on the water than return flights. Following more intense diving bouts, gannets spent more time on the water, probably for purposes of digestion, resting and self-maintenance (Diamond et al. 1986); very long pauses probably follow self-feeding bouts and shorter ones followed by direct returns to the colony are indicative of chick-provisioning activity (see also Ropert-Couderc et al. 2004).

Prey depletion (Birt et al. 1987) and conspecific interference hypotheses (Hunt et al. 1986, Lewis et al. 2001) predict longer foraging ranges at large colonies. Maximum foraging distances of birds carrying compass loggers ranged between tens and hundreds of kilometres with ranges that extended up to 262 km (Garthe et al. 2007a,). These ranges are similar to those of gannets nesting in Shetland, UK (Garthe et al. 1999), but shorter than those at larger colonies in the North Sea (Hamer et al. 2000, Lewis et al. 2001) and Gulf of St. Lawrence (Garthe et al. 2007a). Substantial inter-annual changes in foraging ranges from a single colony are also associated with major shifts in prey bases (Garthe & Montevecchi 2007).
Coping with changing prey conditions

Northern gannets use flexible tactics to cope effectively with changes in prey availability and diversity over seasonal, annual and decadal scales. In the northwest Atlantic Ocean, the prey landings of gannets shifted from a predominance of large migratory warm water pelagic fishes and squid during the 1970s and 1980s, to what appears to be a ‘default’ diet of primarily small forage fish (capelin) through to 2004 (Montevecchi 2007). Yet this major alteration in feeding ecology and diet has had no negative influence on the gannets’ breeding populations that have grown considerably during these decades (Chardine 2000, J. W. Chardine pers. comm.).

Under reduced availability of capelin (biomass, number of shoals) in 2002, gannets responded by foraging farther offshore. This is evidenced by foraging locations and lower densities and total numbers of gannets observed during the inshore vessel survey. Gannets caught more large pelagic fishes, which increased their dietary diversity during 2002. The single gannet equipped with a compass logger that foraged offshore in 2002 exhibited the greatest effort of any bird in our study, i.e. highest percentage of time flying (63%) and most dives during a foraging trip (66% higher than the next highest effort). The northern gannets’ ability to alter their foraging strategies (e.g. foraging location, prey type) under varying conditions (see also Watanuki et al. 2004) is essential for their success in a dynamic pelagic environment.
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